

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office' Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/612,914	07/10/2000	Nabil Hanna	037003-0275543	9512
909 DH I SDIIDV I	909 7590 08/23/2007 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP		EXAMINER	
Eric S. Cherry - Docketing Supervisor			YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H	
P.O. BOX 105 MCLEAN, VA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1643	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/612,914	HANNA ET AL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	•	Christopher H. Yaen	1643			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
	Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Ju	ne 2007.				
·		action is non-final.				
3)□ Si	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims					
4)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>37-54</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
•	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		•			
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.					
. 6)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>37 and 48-54</u> is/are rejected.					
•	laim(s) <u>38-47</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)∐ Th	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	r. ·				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
A	pplicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)□ Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
 a)□	<u> </u>	•				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/10/00.4/8/03, 3/6/07. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/612,914 Page 2

Art Unit: 1643

DETAILED ACTION

RE: Hanna et al

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the species lymphoma in the reply filed on 6/4/2007 is acknowledged.

- 2. Claims 1-36, claims 49-54 are newly added.
- 3. Claims 37-54 are pending and examined on the merits.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The Information Disclosure Statements filed on 7/10/00,4/8/03, and 3/6/07 are acknowledged and considered. Signed copies of the IDS are attached hereto.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29

Application/Control Number: 09/612,914

Art Unit: 1643

USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 37, 48 and 49 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1,5-8, and 10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,750,105. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the co-pending application claim a species of the instantly claimed invention. The claims of the US Patent teach a method of treating a rheumatoid arthritis (RA) comprising the administration of the same or similar compound as that which is instantly claimed. Those of ordinary skill in the art would have found it prima facie obvious to treat RA in view of the teachings of the US Patent because both the instant application and the issued patent cover overlapping

Application/Control Number: 09/612,914

Art Unit: 1643

subject matter and the instant method claims a genus while the issued patent teaches a species.

7. Claims 37 and 48-54 are also provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 37, and 47-50 of copending Application No. 10/211,357 (notice of allowance mailed 8/9/2007). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the co-pending application claim a species of the instantly claimed invention. The claims of the allowed US Application teach a method of treating RA comprising the administration of a CD4 antibody comprising the same or similar CD4 antibody. Those of skill in the art would have found it prima facie obvious to treat RA using the claimed antibody because a species renders obvious a genus (instantly claimed).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

All other rejections are withdrawn in view of the amendments and arguments set forth in the response filed 7/11/2003.

Art Unit: 1643

Conclusion

No claim is allowed. Claims 38-47 are objected to for depending on a rejected claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher H. Yaen whose telephone number is 571-272-0838. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Larry Helms, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0832. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher Yaen/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1643 August 17, 2007